

I. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

1.1 Comes Now Claimant/Beneficiary/Plaintiff, I am exercising as well as retaining and preserving ALL rights without waiving any rights, defenses, Common Law/Exclusive Equity, natural, private commercial, incorporeal, statutory or procedural, a natural living man, being of majority status conducting the style condition of **The Principle and Beneficial Equitable Title Holder**, and not an infant/minor do file this Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) in Case # 2:19-cr-877-CCC and Case # 9:19-mj-8526 without accepting any jurisdiction, for I have neither committed any injury nor has any living man or woman true party in interest testified or witnessed that I have. Being of lawful majority age, clear head, and sound mind represent myself “in Propria Persona” (I’m my person) or “proper”, which qualifies me as an attorney in fact, according to Black’s Law Dictionary. Heretofore Asserting my Sovereign Right to represent myself in legal matters establishes my status as my own attorney. To proceed in Pro Per means that the court cannot impose the same standards upon me as are imposed on a so-called licensed Attorney.

1.2 All the facts stated herein are true, correct, complete, are not hearsay, are not misleading, are admissible as evidence, and if not rebutted and proven inaccurate, and if called to testify, the Claimant shall so state, and further,

1.3 The Claimants has special standing capacity to act as to the lawful matters herein, and further,

1.4 The Claimant has personal, firsthand knowledge, executive and documented knowledge of the facts stated herein, and further,

1.5 The Claimant is a Living Soul, and a Holder of the office of “the people”, Free Land Holder, Sovereign American National, habitant and further,

1.6 The Claimant is NOT a corporation or fictitious entity, or U.S. citizen, *cestui que* trust estate, or citizen of the District of Columbia, and further,

1.7 The Claimant is not in the military, and further,

1.8 The use of any statutes, codes, rules, regulations, or court citations, within any document created by the Claimant, at any time, is only to notice that which is applicable to government officials, and is not intended, nor shall it be construed, to mean that the Claimant has conferred, submitted to, or entered into any jurisdiction alluded to thereby, and further,

1.9 Equality under the law is paramount and mandatory by Law, and further,

1.10 No man or woman, nor any person, is competent in dealing with any of the Claimants affairs.

II. Defendants / Enterprise Members:

2.1 Including but not limited to,

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS (FBI) Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
tHE UNITED sTATES Inc. and Agents, foreign charter corporation; private for profit foreign corporation;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLORADO Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation;
sTATE Of FLORIDA Inc. and Agents, for profit foreign corporation;
FLORIDA B.A.R. Association inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation, a union;
sTATE Of NEW JERSEY Inc. and Agents, for profit foreign corporation;
PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY Inc. and Agents, for profit foreign corporation;
NEW JERSEY B.A.R. Association Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation, a union;
sTATE Of COLORADO Inc. and agents, for profit foreign corporation;
COLORADO B.A.R. Association Inc. and Agents, private for profit foreign corporation, a union;

2.2 ... THE ENTERPRISE

III. The State Process and Policies Violate the Due Process Clause:

3.1 The Claimant/Beneficiary/Plaintiff is competent for dealing in all of the Claimants affairs, provided he is not being intentionally drugged by Defendants/Enterprise Members and/or being subjected to cruel and unusual punishment and/or Defendants/Enterprise Members obstructing claimant from conferring with counsel in order to prepare proper pleadings and further demand this Court to **GRANT the TRO** and order **Federal Funding To Be Withheld** regarding Defendants as listed herein, and the systematic policies and customs and criminal manner of operation that rises to such an extent and is so

extremely “OUT OF ORDER” that “Congress Has A Duty To Act” regarding the common defense and General Welfare of the people and must halt (cease and desist) those criminal operations immediately and until a full investigation can be undertaken, the criminal element can be identified and eradicated from positions receiving federal tax funds, and ORDER CAN BE RESTORED, and pending the outcome of the 42 USC 1983 Civil Rights lawsuit case (number 9:19-mj-8526 and 2:19-cr-877-CCC) filed in the U.S. DISTRICT COURT, restoring Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes INTO ORDER and in harmony with the Constitution(s).

3.2 The Defendants/Enterprise Members Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes are also in violation of the “administrative procedures act of 1946” a federal law that mandates that corporations have their Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes in harmony with the constitution. The Defendants/Enterprise Members Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes is administrative in nature and in violation of the plaintiff’s due process rights.

3.3 The Defendants/Enterprise Members Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes violates the due process rights of the state citizens/ American nationals because the statutes are not valid laws. Statutes being weaponized and directed against the people are of no force and constitute Constructive Fraud among others. The IRS/Federal Court uses these invalid laws to attack state citizens / American nationals daily.

IV. The Agencies and U.S. District Court’s Has A Conflict Of Interest:

4.1 The Defendants/Enterprise Members falsely represented they took jurisdiction of Non-U.S. Individuals, operating in a foreign Country without an injured party, and then listed the CONSORTIUM CORPORATION “tHE UNITED sTATES Inc.” as the injured party — however, ALL Enterprise Members/Defendants are **Paid By The So-called Injured Party:**

4.2 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;

4.3 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS (FBI) Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;

4.4 DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;

4.5 SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;

- 4.6** DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ) Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.7** U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Inc., Judges and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.8** U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF COLORADO Inc., Judges and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.9** U.S. DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Inc., Judges and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.10** sTATE Of FLORIDA Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.11** FLORIDA B.A.R. Association Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.12** sTATE Of NEW JERSEY Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.13** PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.14** NEW JERSEY B.A.R. Association Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.15** sTATE Of COLORADO Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;
- 4.16** COLORADO B.A.R. Association Inc. and Agents, receive their operational money from tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., and furthermore;

4.17 The CONSORTIUM CORPORATION “UNITED sTATES Inc.” is listed as the injured party. The Enterprise Members/Defendants in this case are in violation and DO NOT qualify for federal funding. A Clear Conflict of Interest is Inherent and in Violation Of Claimants Rights.

V. The Spending Clause:

5.1 The Spending Clause, Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution provides the federal government with the legal authority to offer federal grant funds to states and localities. In the

1987 decision in *South Dakota v. Dole*, the Court held that legislation enacted pursuant to the Spending Clause must be in pursuit of the “general welfare.”

5.2 In addition, the Dole Court held that any conditions attached to the receipt of federal funds must:

- (1) be unambiguously established so that recipients can knowingly accept or reject them;
- (2) be germane to the federal interest in the national projects or programs to which the money is directed.
- (3) not violate other provisions of the **Constitution, such as the First Amendment or the Due Process or Takings Clauses of the Fifth Amendment**; and
- (4) not cross the line from enticement to impermissible coercion, such that states have no real choice but to accept the funding and enact or administer a federal regulatory program.

5.3 The fourth of these criteria are intended to ensure that any conditions on federal grant funds do not run afoul of the Tenth Amendment’s prohibition on the federal government’s “commandeering” of state or local governments or officials by requiring them to carry out federal programs.

VI. This Court Had/Has No Jurisdiction:

6.1 tHE UNITED sTATES Inc. CANNOT be an injured party. Acts committed within any of the fifty franchised sTATES, whether for a good or bad purpose, or whether with honest or criminal intent, cannot be made an offense against tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., unless it has some relation to the **execution of a power of congress**, or to some matter **within the jurisdiction of tHE UNITED sTATES Inc.** see; *Unites States v. Fox*, 95 U.S. 670, 672, (1877). The courts of tHE UNITED sTATES Inc., merely by virtue of this grant of judicial power, and in the absence of legislation by congress, have no criminal jurisdiction whatever.

6.2 The criminal jurisdiction of tHE UNITED sTATES Inc. is Wholly derived from the statutes of tHE UNITED sTATES Inc. see: *Manchester v. Massachusetts*, 139 U.S. 240, 262, (1890); *United States v. Flores*, 289, U.S. 137, 151 (1932). Acts of Congress, as well as the Constitution, must generally unite to give jurisdiction to a particular court. See; *U.S. v. Bedford*, 27 Fed. Cas. page 91, 103, Case No. 15, 867 (1847).

6.3 The criminal jurisdiction of the U.S DISTRICT COURT for the DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY is limited, there is NO Congressional power delegated that allows agents from New Jersey or any other state to exert authority over foreign individuals or private ventures.

6.4 Virtually every aspect of the Enterprise/Defendants actions wear fraudulent in conception and nature and therefore had NO Force or Affect.

6.5 Enterprise Engaged in Domestic Spying, Aggravated Kidnapping, Peonage, Fraud, Theft and many other crimes against an American national diplomat in which agents of the Enterprise had NO ability to attain Jurisdiction over because he was from a different society beyond the jurisdiction of the Enterprise, if in fact the enterprise was not engaged in criminal behavior. The fact that the Enterprise WAS engaged in criminal behavior, not just any criminal behavior but RICO criminal behavior, meant that they did not even qualify to acquire jurisdiction over one of their U.S.Citizens, within their society, that may have violated a law either, let alone a sovereign american diplomat habitant outside of their society.

VII. Enterprise Members/Defendants are to CEASE AND DECIST:

7.1 The Plaintiffs/Beneficiary were engaged in a joint venture operating entirely outside of U.S. jurisdiction in a foreign Country, involving over 1 Million foreign nationals from 100 foreign countries. The venture conducted its transactions entirely in cryptocurrency, and no funds were ever transacted using U.S. currency, nor did Bitclub allow any individuals involved in the venture to join if they were U.S. taxpayers or U.S. citizens per the terms of their membership agreement.

7.2 Without any legal authority or jurisdiction to do so, the Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants, initiated a scheme to steal what would be the equivalent of BILLIONS OF DOLLARS of assets belonging to a private foreign joint venture and its participants. This theft was carried out in violation of the International Law principles, particularly the doctrine of extraterritoriality, which limits the U.S. government and their Agents ability to act outside its borders.

7.3 The Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants conspired together to initiate what they concealed as unlawful seizure of assets. Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants engaged in fraudulent and unethical conduct, including submitting false claims and invoking non-applicable and invalid U.S. tax laws, aggravated kidnapping, peonage, torture, intentional infliction of emotional distress and others, to justify their actions. The Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants acted in bad faith, abusing the limited power granted to them under U.S. law. — Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants and others are willfully operating OUT OF ORDER and order must be restored immediately.

7.4 Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants violated International Treaties and their unlawful seizure of assets violated U.S. treaty obligations with foreign countries, including those related to the mutual respect for sovereignty, extradition treaties, and international agreements on taxation. The U.S. has no extraterritorial tax jurisdiction over individuals and entities operating entirely outside its borders, especially when no U.S. citizens or residents are involved in the business activities.

7.5 Federal regulatory agencies, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), have failed to respect the limitations of their statutory authority in relation to cryptocurrency markets.

7.6 Despite lacking explicit Congressional authorization, the Enterprise Members/Trustees/Defendants and others have attempted to fabricate jurisdiction and then assert that fabricated jurisdiction over the entire global crypto industry through so-called enforcement actions. These actions are premised

on the unsupported theory that all transactions involving digital assets are “investment contracts” and, therefore, securities subject to federal securities laws. This theory, which stretches the definition of an investment contract beyond recognition, ignores both the plain text of existing statutes and well-settled Supreme Court precedent.

7.7 The unlawful actions against JOBADIAH SINCLAIR WEEKS© escalated to the point of physical abduction. He was kidnapped by armed assailants posing as agents of law enforcement, who claimed to be effectuating a lawful arrest. Following this abduction, JOBADIAH SINCLAIR WEEKS© was held in bondage for five years under the pretense of incarceration, without due process or even a legitimate legal basis. During this time, the Enterprise repeatedly subjected him to inhumane conditions, designed to cause physical and emotional harm, while his family was terrorized through threats, intimidation, and psychological abuse. These acts constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress (N.J.S.A. 2C:4-6) and false imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 242) and others, which were carried out with malicious intent and are intended to destroy the victim's mental, physical, social and professional stability.

VIII. WHEREFORE, the Claimant/Beneficiary/Plaintiff demands the U.S DISTRICT COURT Inc. grant the restraining order and this **CEASE AND DECIST ORDER**

a. **IT IS DEMANDED: Block ALL Enterprise Members/Defendants from receiving federal funding** because Enterprise Members/Defendants Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes violate the due process rights of the U.S citizens/state citizens/american nationals.

b. **IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to halt all actions regarding the so-called Enforcement and/or anything to do with the so-called Legal System** pending full investigation.

c. **IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to halt all action against Claimant/Beneficiary/Plaintiff** pending full investigation and resolution of RICO claim pending against them.

d. **IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to remove the leg shackle on Claimant within 48 hours** of this demand that has been unlawfully inflicted upon Claimant for Years. Enterprise Members/Defendants never had authority to require it and now that Claimant is the plaintiff and Enterprise Members are the Defendants, every Defendant shall have a comparable shackle in order to comply with equal protection/equal right laws. Failure to do so within 48 hours of this notice shall incur additional charges.

e. **IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to pay what would be the equivalent of \$22 BILLION Dollars (10% of the claim damages) in Crypto or Gold or Silver as a “Good Faith Partial Repayment”** which represents just a fraction of the property that was unlawfully converted (theft) for the benefit of the Enterprise (unjust enrichment) within 48 Hours from this Notice. **NOTE:**

This pre-payment only represents a portion of moneys owed in order for Claimant to begin the restoration process until the full amount that was stolen by Enterprise Members/Defendants along with damages, penalties, interest and additional restitution shall be determined. Failure to Make this Good Faith Payment within 48 hours constitutes “Compounding Willful Injury” and Enterprise Members/Defendants agree to and shall pay Claimant Punitive Damages (10X) on all balances found owing.

f. IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to return the Bond required by Enterprise Members/Defendants on November 6, 2020 in the amount of \$14,793,840.00 Dollars. Claimant was a considerable investor in multiple foreign countries and would have had his holdings in Bitcoin. On November 6, 2020 Bitcoin was valued at the equivalent of approximately \$14,600 each, and that would equate to approximately 136.98 Bitcoin at the time claimant was forced to converted his private holdings into Fiat American Dollars in order to post Two Million Fiat Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) as bail, and is now demanding the return of his bail money in light of the fact that he is the plaintiff within this case and the Enterprise members are now the defendants. Provided that those 136.98 Bitcoin would have been under the control of the claimant, the value of those Bitcoins in today's market would be worth what would be the equivalent of \$14,793,840.00 Dollars and claimant is demanding the return of his bond in the FULL AMOUNT it would be today if not for the interference and theft perpetrated by Enterprise Members/Defendants. Claimant is entitled to the return of FULL VALUE and is demanding the return of his bond in the amount of \$14,793,840.00 Dollars within 48 hours of this notice. Failure to Make this Good Faith Payment within 48 hours constitutes “Compounding Willful Injury” and Enterprise Members/Defendants agree to pay Claimants Punitive damages (10X) on all balances found owing.

g. IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to issue a full press release exoneration and apology for what they did to me.

h. IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to issue a full press release declaring that their is NO U.S. REGULATION REGARDING CRYPTO CURRENCY and CRYPTO CURRENCY ARE NOT SECURITIES OR MONEY.

i. IT IS DEMANDED: Order Enterprise Members/Defendants to issue credentials stating “american nationals of the republic” and others who are NOT part of the U.S. Corporation Society (tHE UNITED sTATES Inc.) are EXCLUDED from all U.S. statutes, codes, jurisdiction, taxes etc. in order that credentials can be presented to untrained or undertrained Agents of the U.S. that they shall be fully inform that other peoples from another society could at times be occupying similar space and are to be treated as sovereign diplomats by ALL U.S. Agents and citizens.

8.1 The Enterprise Members/Defendants everyday Policies, Procedures, Customs and Processes and are OUT OF ORDER and are in violation of due process and constitutional mandates.

8.2 Members/Defendants are not eligible to receive federal funding. I expect this court to follow the constitution and block ALL federal funding pending FULL Investigation and until such time as Enterprise Members/Defendants have changed the procedures, and policies to be in harmony with the constitution, more specifically the spending clause of the constitution. Plaintiff also requests the federal court to vacate any Enterprise Members/Defendants judgments, demands, decrees, rules or orders in the interest of justice until the state is following the spending clause of the constitution.

8.3 I expect this court to require all Enterprise Members/Defendants to take appropriate actions in order to adhere to each and every demand as outlined herein in a timely manner.

CONCLUSION AND *RECTUM ROGARE*

WHEREAS, the facts and the law contained herein are before this court; and, WHEREAS, the facts and the law contained herein are the Truth; and WHEREAS, we hold said Truths to be self-evident; and, WHEREAS, self-evident Truths are undisputed and incontrovertible, no oral argument is requested, for no words can alter or overcome these Truths; and, WHEREAS, Truth is Sovereign: She comes from God and bears His message, from whatever quarter her great eyes may look down upon you; Psalms 117:2; John 8:32; II Corinthians. 13:8; THEREFORE; this court must perform its duty under the Rule of Law, do Justice, *Rectum Rogare*, without delay for.. “Justice delayed is Justice denied.”

Rectum Rogare - "to do right; to petition the judge to do right." — Black’s Law Dictionary 4th edition.

**NOTICE TO THE AGENT IS NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPLE
NOTICE TO THE PRINCIPLE IS NOTICE TO THE AGENT
WITHOUT PREJUDICE U.C.C. § 1-308
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

#1 ATTORNEY GENERAL - NEW JERSEY
Attn: MATTHEW J. PLATKIN
25 Market Street
Trenton, New Jersey, 08625

Via USPS 70223330000073301530
R.R. 9590940233777227867216

#2 sTATE OF NEW JERSEY Inc.
125 West State Street
Trenton, New Jersey, 08608

Via USPS 9589071052702257516638
R.R. 9590940233777227867230

#3 B.A.R. ASSOCIATION - NEW JERSEY
One Constitution Square
New Brunswick, New Jersey, 08901

Via USPS 9589071052702257516621
R.R. 9590940233777227867223

#4 Judge Advocate General of the Navy (JAG)
Vice Adm. Christopher C. French
Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Navy
Rear Adm. Lia Reynolds
1322 Patterson Ave., Suite 3000
Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-506

Via USPS 9589071052702257515419
R.R. 9590940291454225601878

#5 Honorable Renee Marie Bumb,
Chief District Court Judge of U.S. District Court,
Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse
4th & Cooper Streets
Camden, NJ 08101

Via USPS 9589071052702257515389
R.R. 9590940291454225601236

#6 U.S. DISTRICT COURT NEWARK - NEW JERSEY

Via USPS 9589071052702257516317

50 Walnut Street
Newark, New Jersey 07102

R.R. 9590940233777227867247

#7 U.S. DISTRICT COURT DENVER - COLORADO
901 19th Street
Denver, Colorado 80294

Via USPS 9589071052702257515280
R.R. 9590940291454225601335

#8 ATTORNEY GENERAL - COLORADO
Attn: PHIL WEISER
1300 Broadway
Denver, Colorado 80203

Via USPS 9589071052702257515259
R.R. 9590940291454225601366

#9 B.A.R. ASSOCIATION Inc. - COLORADO

Amy Larson
1290 Broadway
Denver, Colorado 80203

Via USPS 9589071052702257515235
R.R. 9590940291454225601380

#10 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Attn: DANIAL I. WARFEL
1111 Constitution Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20224

Via USPS 9589071052702257515372
R.R. 9590940291454225601243

#11 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS

Attn: CHRISTOPHER ASHER WRAY
935 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20535

Via USPS 9589071052702257515426
R.R. 9590940291454225601861

#12 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Attn: GARY GENSLER
100 F Street
Washington, D.C. 20549

Via USPS 70223330000073300137
R.R. 9590940291454225599120

#13 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Attn: MERRICK BRIAN GARLAND
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20530

Via USPS 70223330000073301547
R.R. 9590940233777227867209

#14 tHE UNITED sTATES Inc.

Attn: JOSEPH R. BIDEN
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Via USPS 9589071052702257516195
R.R. 9590940233777227867124

#15 ATTORNEY GENERAL - FLORIDA

Attn: ASHLEY BROOK MOODY
CAPITOL PL-01
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Via USPS 9589071052702257515402
R.R. 9590940291454225601885

#16 STATE OF FLORIDA Inc.

400 South Monroe St.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Via USPS 9589071052702257515396
R.R. 9590940291454225601229

#17 B.A.R. ASSOCIATION - FLORIDA

400 S Monroe St,
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Via USPS 9589071052702257515365
R.R.9590940291454225601250

I declare under the penalty of bearing false witness before God and as recognized under the laws in and for The sTATE of COLORADO, the Laws of tHE UNITED sTATES, acting with sincere intent and full standing in law, do herewith certify and state that the foregoing contents are true, correct, complete, certain, admissible as evidence, and not intended to mislead anyone, and that JOBADIAH SINCLAIR WEEKS executes this document in accordance with best knowledge and understanding without dishonor, without recourse; with All rights reserved, without prejudice.

Done this _____ day of January, in the year 2025, under penalty of perjury under the laws of tHE UNITED sTATES.

JOBADIAH SINCLAIR WEEKS
All Rights Reserved - 1-308

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to this _____ day of, January, 2025.

Notary Public; in and for _____

seal